Subject: Fw: Igor's paper

Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2001 09:19:44 -0500 From: "JH" <hologram@flash.net> To: <hologram@flash.net>

---- Original Message ---From: <LogicomDW@aol.com>
To: LB <hologram@flash.net>

Sent: Monday, June 18, 2001 8:46 PM

Subject: Igor's paper

Loren, I have tried to make sense of  $\operatorname{Igor\hat{a}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ s paper and it is a task for Hercules. It would be much better for them to write this in Russian and  $\operatorname{I\hat{a}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ l have Lucy translate it. The underlying physics is poorly developed-perhaps by intention. They need a GREAT deal of help to fine tune their ideas to a marketable state and  $\operatorname{I\hat{a}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ m surprised that Maria let the paper out. Things like

"beam bunchesâ€□ have no current definition in physics along with a good deal of the other terminology. I'm not sure if they are capable of stating the problem in English they are trying to solve. I see some interesting thoughts about electronic conversion of light wavefronts, but they are not necessarily

new. I (or you) would have to invest heavily of our time to straighten this out. I have no doubt they are fine, sincere people and full of ideas. They need a physics  $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$  holding a chair in one hand and a wip in the other-someone willing to see them through to success. I don $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$  think they

remotely ready to write either a proposal or find a willing investor. The next step is a meeting, but I would almost prefer  $\text{Lucyâ} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ s translation prior to this. Perhaps you can find out if they are willing to do this. Thanks for the lovely evening and again I talked too much. I think they

receptive to what I said but they need so much more. Loving regards,

David

Pro to types